POLITICIZATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE RECRUITMENT AND THE PERFORMANCE OF TERTIARY INSTITUTIONS IN NIGERIA: A STUDY OF MICHAEL OKPARA UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE, UMUDIKE, ABIA STATE

Obaji, Jasper Mmadu
Department of Industrial Relations and Personnel Management
Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike
Email: jmobaji@gmail.com

&

Anikwe, Sunday Obinna (Ph.D)
Department of Industrial Relations and Personnel Management
Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike
Email: anikwe.obinna@mouau.edu.ng

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70118/ajbam-02-2026-035

Abstract

This study examines the impact of politicization of public service recruitment and selection processes on the institutional performance of tertiary institutions in Nigeria, with specific focus on the Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike (MOUAU), Abia State. Anchored on an integrated theoretical framework comprising Weberian Bureaucratic Theory, Neo-Patrimonialism, and Human Capital Theory, the research interrogates how political interference in recruitment undermines meritocracy and compromises institutional effectiveness in Nigeria's higher education sector. Employing a cross-sectional survey design, quantitative data were collected through structured questionnaires administered to 320 academic and administrative staff at MOUAU, with 298 usable responses retained for analysis (93.1% response rate). Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 28), utilizing descriptive statistics, Pearson's Product-Moment Correlation, Multiple Regression Analysis, and group comparison tests (Independent Samples t-tests and One-Way ANOVA). Findings reveal moderately high perceptions of politicization across all measured dimensions: political patronage/nepotism in recruitment, deviation from merit-based criteria, and political interference in appointments. Conversely, institutional performance indicators registered moderate to moderately low scores: academic performance ($M = 2.94$), administrative efficiency ($M = 3.11$), and service delivery outcomes ($M = 3.05$). Statistically significant negative relationships were observed between political patronage and composite academic performance indicators ($r = -0.41$, $p < 0.001$), while deviation from merit-based criteria emerged as the strongest negative predictor of administrative efficiency ($\beta = -0.41$, $p < 0.001$), explaining 28% of variance after controlling for demographic covariates. Group comparisons further indicated that administrative staff and resource-intensive faculties experience heightened political interference and report comparatively weaker service delivery outcomes. Based on these findings, the study recommends adopting a merit-based recruitment charter aligned with Public Service Rules; empowering internal recruitment committees with operational autonomy and technical capacity; implementing post-appointment performance monitoring systems and strengthening professional associations as ethical watchdogs.

Keywords: Politicization, Public Service, Recruitment, Performance, Meritocracy.

INTRODUCTION

Much of the discourse surrounding public servants centre on the politicization of the Public Service. Consequently, the morale of public servants has come under scrutiny, as they are increasingly viewed as agents of the ruling party rather than stewards of the public interest. This shift in public perception is likely attributable to widely publicized instances of misconduct regarding recruitment procedures within the Nigerian Public Service.

Historically, the Nigerian Public Service was regarded as the pivot for socio-economic development. As an institution, it is charged with designing, formulating, and implementing public policy, as well as executing government functions and development programs efficiently.

While the Civil Service comprises various institutions responsible for making and implementing decisions regarding government interests, recruitment and selection have regrettably become characterized by unchecked political interference, nepotism, and favoritism, rather than the meritocratic principles upon which the service was founded. Olowu (1996) argues that the Nigerian Public Service has performed dismally as an agent of development, attributing this failure primarily to human factors.

Expanding on this, Olowu notes that the politicization of personnel management has resulted in the appointment of less qualified and experienced individuals to positions of authority, despite the availability of competent candidates. The centrality of recruitment and selection to personnel administration cannot be overstated (Basu, 1994). In public organizations, this responsibility is typically shared: the personnel department provides professional advisory support on best practices, while line managers define job details and make final hiring decisions.

In the Nigerian context, State and Federal Civil Service Commissions are constitutionally empowered to act as employment agents. They retain the right to recruit and select candidates using tools such as interviews, aptitude tests, and reference checks. However, the Commissions delegate the authority to recruit junior officers (Grades 1–6) to line ministries and extra-ministerial departments (Al-Gazali, 2006). Ideally, the public service emphasizes uniformity, standardization, and transparency throughout these processes.

Despite these frameworks, it is indisputable that recruitment and selection in the Nigerian Public Service have been politicized, resulting in dysfunctional effects on institutional productivity, decision-making quality, and service delivery (Ejumudo, 2011). Osakwe (2007) defines the politicization of public service recruitment as a process driven by political patronage or the political class. Similarly, Omeje and Ndukwe (2009) describe it as the unconventional practice of utilizing political interests to fill vacancies, both existing and non-existing, without adhering to due process. Essentially, this is a recruitment model based on factors other than merit and competence. This development has generated significant public displeasure, particularly concerning its impact on public institutions such as tertiary educational bodies, which form the foundation of research and education in society.

Education is a fundamental pillar of any society, not only in Nigeria but globally, as it is essential for societal preservation and continuity. The Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike (MOUAU), originally established as the Federal University of Agriculture Umudike, was founded by the Federal Government of Nigeria under Decree No. 48 of November 1992. The university commenced formal academic activities in May 1993 with the primary mandate of imparting specialized knowledge and skills to support nation-building. Implicitly, the university serves as a foundation for human capacity development, both physical and mental, aligning with sustainable national development planning. In this context, education is viewed as a catalyst for positive institutional change, fostering the solidarity, cohesion, and integration necessary to achieve the common good.

However, the politicization of public service recruitment in Nigeria has compromised the integrity of the hiring process. This has led to inadequate evaluation standards, questionable methods for attracting applicants, and a lack of transparency and independence within recruiting authorities. Consequently, this study investigates the impact of politicized recruitment and selection processes on the performance of Nigerian tertiary institutions, with a specific emphasis on the Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, in Ikwuano Local Government Area of Abia State, Nigeria.

The constitutional imperative to establish a public service characterized by impartial selection, administrative competence, political neutrality, and a devotion to the national interest is enshrined in Section 153(1) of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (as amended). This provision vests the Federal

and State Civil Service Commissions with the exclusive authority to appoint, dismiss, and exercise disciplinary control over civil servants. Theoretically, personnel entry into the public service ecosystem is conducted through mechanisms of recruitment, transfer, and secondment (Babaru, 2003).

However, notwithstanding these constitutional safeguards designed to mitigate fraud and related malfeasance, and despite the civil service's strategic role as a primary instrument for socio-economic and political development, the recruitment apparatus has been significantly compromised by political interference. This erosion of integrity constrains the utility and efficiency of public service delivery, particularly within Nigeria's tertiary institutions.

Similarly, there are cases where recruitment and selection into the state and federal tertiary institutions are based on the concept of "godfatherism", which throws merit criteria to the wind. In this case, the political heads in various ministries and extra ministerial departments give express orders to the governments of the state and federal tertiary institutions to appoint their preferred candidates without recourse to laid-down recruitment procedure. Consequently, the staff strength of the state and federal tertiary institutions in Nigeria has been growing in leaps and bounds ignoring employment embargoes and procedure.

It is on this ground that this study attempts a reexamination of the nature and the impact of politicization of public service recruitment on the Performance of Tertiary Institutions in Nigeria, with a particular emphasis on Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike

The main objective of this study is to examine the impact of the politicization of public service recruitment process on the performance of tertiary institutions in Nigeria, specifically the objectives are as follow;

i. To examine the extent to which political patronage and nepotism in staff recruitment influence academic performance indicators at MOUAU, (including research output, teaching quality, and student learning outcomes).

ii. To assess the effect of deviation from merit-based selection criteria on administrative efficiency and operational effectiveness within MOUAU's support services and management structures.

iii. To determine the relationship between political interference in appointment decisions and institutional service delivery outcomes at MOUAU, with particular focus on accreditation compliance, community engagement, and stakeholder satisfaction.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Recruitment and selection in the public service is the process through which the interest of job applicants is aroused and induced to compete for available job openings in the civil service. Effective recruitment exercise is very significant given the vital role of the public service in socio-economic development of any country. According to Basu (1994), the public service is indispensable to the functioning of the modern state. It is seen as the professional body of officials, permanent, paid and skilled (Finer, 1949). It has been argued that the public service is the major facilitator for implementation of the will of the state as expressed through public policy (Biobele, 2007). In view of the need for the public service to be impartially selected, administratively competent, politically neutral and imbued with the spirit of service to the nation or federation (Gladden, 1948). Section 169 and 197 of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria vested in the federal/state public service commission with the powers to appoint persons to offices in the federal/state public services and to dismiss and exercise disciplinary control over persons holding such offices. Appointments into the public service system are done through recruitment, transfer and secondment (Babaru, 2003). Transfer simply means permanent release of an officer from one schedule service to another or within the service whereas secondment is conceived as the temporary release of an officer to the service of another government agency or international

organizational of which Nigeria is a member for a specific period of time.

To avoid delay in the performance of the duties of the commission, section 170 of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria empowers the commission to delegate any of its powers and functions as a regulatory authority of the federal/state public service to line ministries and extra ministerial departments. Therefore, powers to recruit junior offices on posts graded GL 01-06 are delegated to ministries and extra ministerial department.

Employees Performance Appraisal and Politicization

The performance appraisal which is a very important method of ensuring that employees of a given organization are at their best at all-time has also been compromised by the chagrins of the political heavy weights in Nigeria. At the heart of many appraisal functions is an assessment and an evaluation of performance. The goal is fair and 'objective' measurement, measurement of the quality of one's work and how it stands up against some standard or in comparison to others. It is known that the raters do not have all the facts that appraisal is not particularly reliable, but they nonetheless pretend that it is a valid measurement (Gioia and Longenecker, 1994).

The Politicization of Public Service Recruitment

The politicization of public service recruitment involves the infusion of partisan interests, such as political allegiance and patronage, into hiring and promotion processes, thereby prioritizing loyalty over merit and professional standards (Osakwe, 2007; Onwe & Abah, 2020). In Nigeria, this trend is characterized by informal power structures that bypass bureaucratic norms, reflecting deep-seated patron-client relationships (Scott, 1972; Okoli & Orji, 2014).

For this study, the concept is operationalized as the degree to which recruitment for academic and non-academic staff at MOUAU is influenced by four key factors: political interference, nepotism and cronyism, partisan loyalty, and the subversion of meritocratic criteria. These indices are evaluated through staff perspectives, institutional records, and expert interviews.

The politicization of public service recruitment in Nigeria is deeply embedded in the country's broader political economy and governance architecture. Intrinsically, the functions of the public service are politically sensitive, as they operate within the interstices of the legislative, executive, and judicial arms of government, all of which navigate complex budgetary and political processes (Oguonu, 2007). This vulnerability is compounded by Nigeria's historical trajectory of militarization and an excessively centralized presidential system, which has concentrated fiscal and administrative authority at the federal level.

Performance of Tertiary Institutions

Within the Nigerian higher education landscape, institutional performance represents a university's holistic ability to execute its primary functions of instruction, scholarly research, public service, and administrative leadership in an effective and sustainable manner (Ajayi & Ekundayo, 2010; NUC, 2024). This includes measurable outputs like degree completion rates and research volume, as well as qualitative factors such as graduate marketability, institutional prestige, and the efficient use of organizational resources.

For the purposes of this research, institutional performance is measured through a combined assessment of five key pillars: administrative functionality and employee morale, academic excellence and research impact, infrastructure and resource management, accreditation success and student achievement, and overall organizational reputation. These metrics are determined through staff feedback, verified documentation, and alignment with national educational standards.

Theoretical Framework

This research utilizes a dual-theoretical framework, drawing on James C. Scott's (1972) Patron-Client Theory and Jensen and Meckling's (1976) Principal-Agent Theory. These perspectives offer a comprehensive lens through which to analyze how politicized recruitment affects the performance of Nigerian tertiary institutions, focusing specifically on Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike (MOUAU).

Patron-Client Theory explains the survival of informal power dynamics within formal systems. It identifies an asymmetric relationship where patrons, those in power, distribute resources and appointments to clients in exchange for political support (Scott, 1972). In Nigeria's public sector, this often manifests as "godfatherism," where merit is sidelined for loyalty. This theory helps categorize political interference and nepotism not as random errors, but as deliberate functions of patronage networks (Onwe and Abah, 2020). Consequently, the study posits that these practices harm MOUAU's performance by undermining academic quality, administrative efficiency, and institutional reputation. Consequently, the theory predicts that such practices will adversely affect the dependent variable, institutional performance, by eroding administrative efficiency and staff morale, diminishing academic quality and research output, compromising resource management and infrastructure development, undermining accreditation outcomes and student learning performance, and ultimately tarnishing the institutional reputation and operational effectiveness of MOUAU. The Nigerian political culture, characterized by ethnic balancing, party loyalty, and personalized authority, provides fertile ground for patron-client dynamics to flourish, often rendering formal recruitment reforms, such as those stipulated in the Public Service Rules or National Universities Commission guidelines, ineffective in practice (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 2021).

Principal-Agent Theory adds a structural dimension, focusing on the challenges of delegation. It suggests that when a principal (such as the government) delegates authority to an agent (university management), divergent interests and information gaps can lead to agency loss (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). In this context, administrators may prioritize political demands over institutional excellence, especially when oversight is weak. This implies that at MOUAU, the lack of effective monitoring allows agents to bypass meritocratic standards in favor of politicized hiring without facing repercussions (Onwe and Abah, 2020).

Integrating these two theoretical perspectives yields a nuanced framework for analysing the research problem. Patron-Client Theory explains the motivational and relational drivers of politicization, why actors engage in patronage-based recruitment, while Principal-Agent Theory clarifies the institutional and procedural conditions that permit such practices to endure, how weak accountability mechanisms facilitate the substitution of loyalty for merit.

Within this integrated framework, exogenous factors such as Nigeria's broader political culture, limited institutional autonomy for tertiary institutions, and inconsistent enforcement of public service regulations create an environment conducive to patron-client exchanges (Onwe and Abah, 2020). These exchanges, operationalized through the indices of the independent variable, interact with principal-agent dynamics, information asymmetry, monitoring deficits, and incentive misalignment, to shape recruitment outcomes at MOUAU. The resulting politicization, in turn, exerts measurable effects on the multidimensional construct of institutional performance that constitutes the dependent variable.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a cross-sectional survey to establish patterns, relationships, and generalizable trends regarding recruitment practices and institutional performance indicators. The target population comprises two distinct categories (academic staff, non-teaching staff)

The total population is 4382 staff members, based on the university's most recent staff audit report (MOUAU Registry, 2024). Using the Krejcie and Morgan (1970) table for finite populations, a minimum sample size of 292 respondents is required for a 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error. To account for potential non-response, the target sample is increased to 320 respondents.

Stratified random sampling is used to ensure proportional representation across academic ranks (Professor to Assistant Lecturer), administrative grades (GL 07–17), and faculties/units. Within each stratum, simple random sampling is applied using the university's staff registry as the sampling frame. Data are coded, cleaned, and analyzed using

IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 28). Descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, standard deviations) summarize demographic profiles and key variables.

Inferential statistics include Pearson's Product-Moment Correlation to examine relationships between politicization indices and performance metrics; Multiple Regression Analysis to determine the predictive power of politicization variables on institutional performance, controlling for demographic covariates; and Independent Samples t-tests and One-Way ANOVA to compare perceptions across staff categories and academic units.

DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

A total of 320 questionnaires were administered to academic and administrative staff at MOUAU. After data cleaning, 298 usable responses were retained for analysis (response rate = 93.1%).

All constructs were measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree). Higher scores indicate greater perceived politicization or higher performance.

Table 1: Performance Indicators

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation	Interpretation
Political Patronage/Nepotism in Recruitment	3.82	0.91	Moderately High
Deviation from Merit-Based Criteria	3.67	0.88	Moderately High
Political Interference in Appointments	3.91	0.85	High
Academic Performance Indicators	2.94	1.02	Moderately Low
Administrative Efficiency	3.11	0.97	Moderate
Service Delivery Outcomes	3.05	1.05	Moderate
Overall Institutional Performance Composite	3.03	0.95	Moderate

Source: Field Study, 2025

Academic Performance Indicators include research output, teaching quality, and student learning outcomes; Administrative Efficiency captures timeliness, resource utilization, and procedural compliance; Service Delivery Outcomes reflect accreditation status, community engagement, and stakeholder satisfaction.

Inferential Statistics Aligned with Study Objectives

Objective 1: Political Patronage/Nepotism and Academic Performance Indicators

Analysis: Pearson's Product-Moment Correlation

A Pearson correlation was conducted to examine the relationship between perceived political patronage/nepotism in recruitment and academic performance indicators at MOUAU.

Table 2: Political Patronage/Nepotism and Academic Performance Indicators

Variables	r	p-value	N
Political Patronage ↔ Research Output	-0.42	<0.001	298
Political Patronage ↔ Teaching Quality	-0.38	<0.001	298
Political Patronage ↔ Student Learning Outcomes	-0.35	<0.001	298

Composite: Political Patronage ↔ Academic Performance	**-0.41**	**<0.001**	**298**

Source: Field Study, 2025

Interpretation: A statistically significant, moderate negative correlation was observed between political patronage/nepotism in recruitment and academic performance indicators ($r = -0.41$, $p < 0.001$). This suggests that as perceptions of patronage-based hiring increase, perceived academic performance tends to decrease. The finding supports the hypothesis that politicized recruitment undermines scholarly productivity and educational quality.

Objective 2: Deviation from Merit-Based Criteria and Administrative Efficiency

Analysis: Multiple Regression Analysis

A multiple regression analysis was performed to determine the predictive power of deviation from merit-based selection criteria on administrative efficiency, controlling for demographic covariates (staff category, years of service, gender, academic rank/grade level).

Table 3: Model Summary

Model	R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	Std. Error of Estimate
1	0.53	0.28	0.26	0.84

Table 4: Coefficients Table

Predictor	B	t	p-value	VIF
(Constant)	—	4.12	<0.001	—
Deviation from Merit Criteria	-0.41	-6.83	<0.001	1.82
Staff Category (Academic=1)	0.12	1.94	0.053	1.21
Years of Service	0.08	1.31	0.191	1.15
Gender (Male=1)	-0.05	-0.89	0.374	1.09
Academic Rank / Grade Level	0.15	2.21	0.028	1.33

Source: Field Study, 2025

Interpretation: The regression model was statistically significant, $F(5, 292) = 22.67$, $p < 0.001$, and explained 28% of the variance in administrative efficiency (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.26$). Deviation from merit-based criteria emerged as the strongest negative predictor ($\beta = -0.41$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that for every one-unit increase in perceived deviation from merit, administrative efficiency decreases by 0.41 standard deviations, holding other variables constant. Academic rank/grade level was a modest positive predictor ($\beta = 0.15$, $p = 0.028$), suggesting senior staff perceive higher efficiency. These results affirm that merit erosion in recruitment significantly compromises operational effectiveness at MOUAU.

Objective 3: Political Interference and Service Delivery Outcomes Across Staff Categories

Analysis: Independent Samples t-tests and One-Way ANOVA

a) Comparison by Staff Category (Academic vs. Administrative)

An independent samples t-test compared perceptions of political interference and service delivery outcomes between academic and administrative staff.

Table 5: Comparison by Staff Category (Academic vs. Administrative)

Variable	Group	Mean	SD	t	Df	p-value	Cohen's d
Political Interference	Academic	3.78	0.87	-2.31	296	0.021	0.27
	Administrative	4.12	0.79				
Service Delivery Outcomes	Academic	3.18	1.01	2.08	296	0.038	0.24
	Administrative	2.85	1.08				

Source: Field Study, 2025

Interpretation: Administrative staff reported significantly higher perceptions of political interference (M = 4.12) than academic staff (M = 3.78), t(296) = -2.31, p = 0.021, d = 0.27. Conversely, academic staff reported moderately higher service delivery outcomes (M = 3.18) than administrative staff (M = 2.85), t(296) = 2.08, p = 0.038, d = 0.24. These small-to-moderate effect sizes suggest role-based differences in experiencing and evaluating politicization.

b) Comparison Across Academic Units (One-Way ANOVA)

A one-way ANOVA examined whether perceptions of political interference and service delivery outcomes differed across MOUAU's five faculties (Agriculture, Engineering, Management Sciences, Natural Sciences, Veterinary Medicine).

Table 6: Comparison Across Academic Units

Variable	Between-Groups F	df	p-value	η^2
Political Interference	3.84	4, 293	0.005	0.05
Service Delivery Outcomes	2.91	4, 293	0.022	0.04

Source: Field Study, 2025

Post-hoc Tests (Tukey HSD):

- Faculty of Engineering reported significantly higher political interference (M = 4.21) than Faculty of Agriculture (M = 3.64), p = 0.018.
- Faculty of Agriculture reported higher service delivery outcomes (M = 3.38) than Faculty of Management Sciences (M = 2.79), p = 0.031.

Interpretation: Significant between-faculty differences were observed for both political interference (F(4,293) = 3.84, p = 0.005, η^2 = 0.05) and service delivery outcomes (F(4,293) = 2.91, p = 0.022, η^2 = 0.04). Although effect sizes were small, the pattern suggests that units with greater external visibility or resource competition (e.g., Engineering) experience heightened political pressure, while core mandate units (e.g., Agriculture) may retain relatively stronger service delivery capacity.

Findings

The quantitative results consistently corroborate the main hypothesis of the study, indicating that the politicization of public service recruitment negatively impacts institutional performance at MOUAU. The most robust statistical evidence highlighted the link between straying from merit-based criteria and administrative efficiency, with the erosion of merit accounting for a significant portion of the variance in operational effectiveness, even after accounting for demographic factors. There were moderate yet significant correlations

between political patronage and reduced academic performance, and group comparisons uncovered subtle differences in how politicization is perceived and assessed among various staff categories and academic departments. This finding is further buttressed by Chikeleze and Orji (2019). They discovered in their study that political influence consistently outweighs merit during recruitment. Additionally, their study highlighted that such appointments frequently lead to the creation of redundant roles for political associates, which destabilizes administrative frameworks and weakens institutional accountability.

These findings empirically demonstrate that subordinating meritocratic principles to political considerations in recruitment significantly undermines the multidimensional performance of tertiary institutions. Consequently, recruitment practices must be rigorously aligned with constitutional and regulatory mandates for impartiality and professional competence, particularly within institutions tasked with human capital development and national socio-economic progress. This pattern resonates with the observations of Okoli and Orji (2014), who documented how Nigerian political patrons exploit informal networks to place loyal associates in public sector and university positions. By circumventing established hiring protocols, such patronage arrangements cultivate allegiance to political sponsors rather than to institutional mandates, thereby eroding organizational capacity and academic effectiveness.

Conclusion and Recommendations

This research aimed to explore how the politicization of public service recruitment and selection processes affects the institutional performance at Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike (MOUAU). Grounded in an integrated theoretical framework that includes Weberian Bureaucratic Theory, Neo-Patrimonialism, and Human Capital Theory, the study produced strong empirical evidence regarding the connection between recruitment practices and institutional outcomes within Nigeria's higher education sector.

The results consistently support the main argument of the study: that the politicization of public service recruitment has a markedly detrimental effect on institutional performance at MOUAU. In particular, political patronage and nepotism in the recruitment process were observed to have a moderate yet significant correlation with reduced academic performance metrics, such as research output, teaching quality, and student learning outcomes. The impact of straying from merit-based selection criteria on administrative efficiency was even more pronounced, emerging as the most significant negative predictor in a multiple regression analysis, accounting for 28% of the variance in operational effectiveness, even when controlling for demographic variables.

Recommendations

Based on the empirical findings of this study, the following concise, actionable recommendations are proposed to address the politicization of public service recruitment and enhance institutional performance at the Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike (MOUAU) and similar Nigerian tertiary institutions:

i. MOUAU management, in partnership with the National Universities Commission (NUC), should implement a standardized, merit-based recruitment system for academic staff that requires public job postings, anonymous application reviews, and interview panels featuring external experts.
ii. Modernize recruitment and promotion by implementing an integrated Human Resource Management Information System (HRMIS). This system creates a transparent, auditable digital workflow for tracking vacancies, candidate evaluations, and final approvals. Automation minimizes manual interference, strengthens organizational accountability, and provides the data necessary to ensure compliance with merit-based hiring standards.
iii. MOUAU should establish a permanent Accreditation Compliance Unit staffed by career professionals to decouple quality assurance from political appointment cycles. By ensuring that accreditation preparation remains continuous and independent of leadership changes, the university can safeguard its academic standards and institutional standing.

iv. Enhance legal protections for university independence by having the National Assembly and Abia State House of Assembly update laws to strictly forbid political meddling in recruitment and governance. Establishing these legislative barriers fosters a merit-based culture and shields academic institutions from the influence of political patronage.

v. Implement a Whistleblower Protection Framework for MOUAU personnel by establishing a secure, confidential reporting system backed by legal safeguards against retaliation. This mechanism allows staff to safely report biased hiring or practices that undermine institutional standards. By protecting these voices, the university can disrupt entrenched patronage networks and identify systemic decline at an early stage.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, Z., Ahsan, N., & Alam, S.S. (2018). The effect of human resource management practices on business performance among private companies in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, *4*(6), 65–72.

Adeyemo, D.O. & Osumyikanmi P.O., (2009). Political Influence on bureaucratic growth and social responsiveness: A case of Ondo State,Nigeria

Ajuna,, E. I. (2018). J*ob and Task Analysis in Dunnetee Edition. Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology.* Chicago: Rand McNally. Pp. 651 – 696

Al-Gazali, A. (2006). *Understanding the basic civil service rules and regulation. In Nigeria's technical aids corps: Issues and perspectives*. Ibadan: Dokun Publishing House

Analoui, F. (2007*). Strategic Human Resource Management.* London, UK: Thomson Learning Publishers

Ary, D. Jacob, L.C. & Razavieh, A. (1972). Introduction to Research in Education. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston

Babaru, A. S. M. (2003). Paper Presented at the Commonwealth, New-Zealand Agency for International Development (NEAID), Programme on Leading Public Service Innovation. Willington: February, 24th – 6th March

Basu, E. L. (1994). *Everything You Always Wanted To Know About Job Analysis: A Job Analysis* Primer Tampa: F. L. Mariner Publishing

Becker, G. S. (1964). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education. University of Chicago Press.

Biobele, R. B. (2007). Problems of recruitment in civil service: Case of the Nigerian civil service. *African Journal of Business Management* 1(6), 142-153.

Bratton, M., & Van de Walle, N. (1997). Democratic experiments in Africa: Regime transitions in comparative perspective. Cambridge University Press.

Charlton, G. (2000). *Human habits of highly effective organizations: The human race*. Pretoria, South Africa: Van Schaik.

Coens, T., & Jenkins, M. (2000). *Abolishing performance appraisals*. (2nd edn.). Oak Park, CA: Velocity Business Publishing.

Coetsee, L.D. (2002). *Peak Performance and productivity: A practical guide for the creation of a motivating climate.* Pretoria: Vanderbijlpark, Ons Drukkers.

Ejumudo, K. B. O. (2011). *Constraints in the staff recruitment and selection system of Delta State Civil Service.* Department of Political Science, Delta University, Abraka, Nigeria.

employee appraisal. Academy of management perspectives vol. 1 No3

Federal Republic of Nigeria, (1999): Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2021). Public Service Rules (PSR). Office of the Accountant-General of the Federation.

Finer, M. P. (1949). Politics in organisations. In R.A. Giacolone, & P. Rosenfeld (Eds.), *Impression management in the organisation* (pp. 143–170). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum

Gandz, J., & Murray, V.V. (1980). The experience of workplace politics. *The Academy of Management Journal*, *23*(2), 237–251.

Gioia, D.A., & Longenecker, C.O. (1994). Delving into the dark side: The politics of executive appraisal. *Organisational Dynamics*, *22*(3), 47–58

Gladden E. N. (1948). *The civil service, its problems and future*. London: Staples Publication Ltd

Haines, V.Y. III, & St-Onge, S. (2012). Performance management effectiveness: Practices or context? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *23*(6), 1158–1175. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2011.561230

Hoskins, R., Leach, A., & Neerputh, S. (2006). Towards establishing guidelines for performance appraisal of subject librarians in Kwa-Zulu-Natal academic libraries. *Mousaion*, *24*(1), 51–74.

Jawahaar, I.M. (2006). An investigation of potential consequences of satisfaction with appraisal feedback. *Journal of Leadership and Organisational Studies*, *13*(2), 14–28. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/10717919070130020101

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305–360.

Joseph, R. A. (1987). Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria: The rise and fall of the Second Republic. Cambridge University Press.

Kerlinger, F.H. (1964), *Foundation of behavioral research: Educational and Psychological Inquiry,* New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc,

Kim, S.E., & Rubianty, D. (2011). Perceived fairness of performance appraisals in the federal government: Does it matter? *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, *31*(4), 329–348. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0734371X11428903

Leege, D.C. & Francis, W.L., (1974). Political Research: Design, Measurement and Analysis.

Longenecker, C.O., Sim, H.P. and Gioia, D.A., (1987). Behind the mask: The policies of

Macan, T., Mehner, K., Havill, L., Meriac, J.P., Roberts, L., & Heft, L. (2011). Two for the price of one: Assessment center training to focus on behaviors can transfer to performance appraisals. *Human Performance*,*24*(5),443–457. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08959285.2011.614664

New York, NY: Basic Books

Oguonu, C., (2007) Manpower planning in organizations. *International Journal of Studies in the Humanities (IJOSH)* 4, (9).112- 119.

Olowu, D., (1996) *Democratization and the issue of bureaucratic neutrality in Nigeria*. Palgrave Mac Millian, London 157-169

Omeje, N. P. and Ndukwe, C. (2009). Politicization of personnel management and Nigeria public service efficiency an overview. *A Journal of the Nigeria Public Administration Review* 1(2).123-124

Onwe, O. A., & Abah, P. O. (2020). Politics of recruitment and selection in the Nigerian Civil Service: An Ebonyi State experience. International Journal

of Innovative Social & Science Education Research, 8(2), 1–12.

Osakwe, A. A. (2007). Application of elite theory to corruption in Nigeria Society. In C. O. T. Ugwu (ed) *Corruption in Nigeria: Critical Perspectives*. Nsukka: CEP

Osakwe, C. N. (2007). *Politics of recruitment and selection in the Nigerian civil service*. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Political Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.

Schultz, T. W. (1961). Investment in human capital. The American Economic Review, 51(1), 1–17.

Scott, J., C. (1972) Patron-client politics and political change in southeast Asia. *American political science review*, (1), 91-113

Smith, P. (1990). The use of performance indicators in the public sector. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, *153*(2), 53–72. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2983096

Ushie, V. T., Peretomode V. F. and Peretomode O. (2010). *Human resources management: principles, policies and practice*.Lagos: Onosomegbowho Ogbinaka Publishers

Van der Waldt, G. (2004). *Managing performance in the public sector: Concepts, considerations and challenges.* Lansdowne, South Africa: Juta & Co. Ltd.

Weber, M. (1978). Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology (G. Roth & C. Wittich, Eds.). University of California Press.

Yamane, T. (1967). Statistics: An Introductory Analysis. 2nd Edition, Harper and Row, New York.