

Stress Management and Employee Job Satisfaction in Banking Industry: A Study of Selected Banks in FCT-Abuja

ONWUESI, Miriam Ifeyinwa ^{*1}, Nana U. Bature ²
^{1&2} Department of Business Administration
University of Abuja, Nigeria

Email: miriambathmoshe@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70118/ajbam-03-2025-129>

ABSTRACT

High stress levels in the banking industry, particularly in the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja, have raised concerns regarding employee job satisfaction, prompting this study. The main objective was to examine the relationship between stress management practices specifically workload management, work-life balance, and support systems and employees' job satisfaction in the banking sector. Utilizing a descriptive research design, the study targeted employees from selected banks, including FCMB, Zenith Bank, and First Bank of Nigeria Plc. A sample size of 235 was determined through stratified random sampling to ensure representation across different bank sizes and operational scales. Data were collected via structured questionnaire, which were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, including regression analysis to test the proposed hypotheses. Findings revealed that effective workload management significantly enhances employees' satisfaction with their work content, while a balanced work-life approach positively influences perceptions of compensation and benefits. Additionally, robust support systems were found to be critical for career development satisfaction among employees. The study concluded that implementing comprehensive stress management strategies is essential for improving job satisfaction in the banking industry. Recommendations include developing targeted stress management programs that focus on workload distribution, promoting work-life balance initiatives, and enhancing organizational support systems to foster employee well-being and reduce turnover rates. This research contributes valuable insights for banking institutions and policymakers aiming to create healthier work environments that enhance employee satisfaction and productivity.

KEYWORDS: Stress management, employees' job satisfaction

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Stress management is a vital process through which individuals and organizations implement strategies to reduce the adverse effects of stress on well-being and productivity. In high-pressure industries such as banking, stress is an inevitable challenge in the modern workplace. Effective stress management entails a combination of psychological, behavioral, and organizational approaches to help employees cope with workplace demands (Michie, 2021). The global interest in the connection between stress management and job satisfaction has

underscored the importance of organizations adopting effective strategies to ensure employee well-being and satisfaction.

The banking sector worldwide is known for its demanding work environment, characterized by long hours, high customer expectations, and stringent regulatory requirements, all of which contribute to elevated stress levels among employees. If left unaddressed, these factors can diminish job satisfaction and overall productivity (Cooper & Quick, 2017). In Western countries like those in Europe and North America, there has been a strong focus

on enhancing work-life balance, offering support systems, and managing workloads to improve employee satisfaction. These strategies are built on the understanding that effective stress management directly affects employees' perceptions of their work content, compensation, and career growth opportunities (Beehr & Newman, 2019).

In Africa, the banking industry faces similar stress-related challenges but within a distinct socio-economic context. Limited resources, high unemployment rates, and economic instability are prevalent factors that intensify workplace stress. African researchers have emphasized the need for stress management approaches tailored to the region's unique socio-cultural dynamics (Nwadiani & Onoyase, 2020). Stress management in Africa often involves support systems both within and outside the workplace and the ability to maintain work-life balance despite changing economic conditions.

In Nigeria, the banking industry in the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja reflects these global and regional patterns. Employees face significant pressure due to intense competition among banks and fluctuating economic conditions. Historically, Nigeria's banking sector offered relatively stable working conditions, but recent economic issues such as inflation and volatile exchange rates have created a high-pressure environment (Akinwale, 2018). These challenges highlight the importance of stress management in improving job satisfaction, particularly in areas such as workload management, work-life balance, and the availability of support systems.

Workload management significantly influences employees' perceptions of their work content. When employees are overwhelmed by excessive tasks, their ability to find meaning and satisfaction in their work diminishes. In Abuja's banking sector, where living costs are high, and competition in the job market is intense, employees often face heightened pressure to perform at their peak, sometimes at the expense of personal well-being (Olowookere, 2021). By implementing effective stress management strategies that ensure balanced workloads, banks can enhance job satisfaction by allowing employees to focus

on their responsibilities without feeling overburdened.

Work-life balance is another crucial component of stress management that affects employee satisfaction, particularly regarding compensation and benefits. Banking employees frequently contend with long hours and rigid schedules that strain their personal lives. When work-life balance is neglected, employees may perceive their compensation as inadequate, even if their remuneration is objectively fair. On the other hand, banks that prioritize work-life balance can enhance employee satisfaction by aligning compensation packages with overall well-being (Akinwale, 2018).

Additionally, support systems play an essential role in shaping employees' perceptions of career development opportunities. Mentoring programs, professional development initiatives, and counseling services can alleviate career-related stress and improve job satisfaction. In Abuja's competitive banking environment, the availability of these support systems can significantly impact how employees view their career prospects (Olowookere, 2021).

The relationship between stress management and job satisfaction in the banking industry is complex and context-dependent. In Abuja, as in other parts of the world, addressing workload, promoting work-life balance, and providing robust support systems are essential to improving employees' satisfaction with their work content, compensation, and career growth. As the banking sector evolves and responds to economic and social changes, the need for comprehensive stress management strategies remains critical.

Statement of the Problem

The banking industry in Nigeria, particularly in FCT Abuja, is becoming increasingly stressful for employees due to high demands, long working hours, and stringent regulatory requirements. These stressors adversely affect job satisfaction, which is essential for organizational success. Stress management encompassing workload management, work-life balance, and support systems plays a critical role in shaping employees' perceptions of their jobs. However, the extent to which these strategies influence key aspects of job

satisfaction, such as work content, compensation, and career development, is underexplored in the Nigerian context (Olowookere, 2021).

Workload management impacts employees' satisfaction with their work content. When overwhelmed with tasks, employees struggle to engage meaningfully with their roles, leading to dissatisfaction. This is particularly evident in Abuja's fast-paced banking sector, where excessive workloads leave employees little time to fully commit to their responsibilities. Previous studies, like Akinwale (2018), have noted the negative effects of heavy workloads on job satisfaction. However, many studies broadly address job stress without specifically examining how workload management influences perceptions of work content.

Work-life balance also plays a significant role in employee satisfaction, particularly concerning compensation and benefits. Long hours and intense pressure often hinder employees from achieving a healthy balance between work and personal life. When this balance is disrupted, employees may perceive their compensation as inadequate, even when it is objectively competitive. Research such as Michie's (2021) highlights the link between poor work-life balance and dissatisfaction with compensation, but there is limited evidence directly connecting this dynamic to Nigeria's banking sector.

Support systems, including mentoring, counseling, and professional development, are integral to employees' career development. In Abuja's highly competitive banking sector, the absence of adequate support mechanisms can lead to career stagnation and dissatisfaction. While studies like Cooper and Quick (2017) emphasize the importance of organizational support, there is limited exploration of how these mechanisms specifically impact career development in Nigeria's banking sector.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Stress Management

Stress management refers to the strategies and techniques used to control and mitigate the negative effects of stress, particularly in the

This research is motivated by the rising concerns over high employee turnover and declining productivity in Nigeria's banking industry, which are often attributed to stress-induced dissatisfaction (Nwadiani & Onoyase, 2020). While prior studies have explored stress management and job satisfaction individually, few have analyzed the interplay between stress management dimensions (workload management, work-life balance, and support systems) and job satisfaction components (work content, compensation, and career development) in a unified framework. Furthermore, many studies overlook the unique socio-economic conditions in Abuja, which significantly shape employee experiences.

This study seeks to address these gaps by focusing specifically on Abuja's banking sector and providing an in-depth analysis of how stress management strategies impact employee satisfaction with work content, compensation, and career development. By doing so, it aims to offer practical insights for banks to develop targeted interventions that improve job satisfaction through effective stress management practices.

Research Questions

The study was guided by the following research questions:

- i. How does workload management affect employees' satisfaction with their work content in the banking industry in FCT Abuja?
- ii. In what ways does work-life balance influence employees' satisfaction with compensation and benefits in the banking industry in FCT Abuja?
- iii. To what extent do support systems impact employees' satisfaction with career development in the banking industry in FCT Abuja?

workplace. It involves practices designed to help individuals cope with job-related stressors, safeguard mental and physical health, and enhance overall job performance. In industries like banking, where employees face significant demands, tight deadlines, and high expectations, managing stress effectively is crucial.

Michie (2021) defines stress management as encompassing both organizational and individual interventions aimed at minimizing the adverse effects of stress. Organizational strategies may involve workload management, support systems, and promoting work-life balance to prevent burnout, reduce absenteeism, and enhance employee satisfaction and productivity. On an individual level, stress management includes techniques like time management, relaxation exercises, and seeking social support to help employees maintain well-being despite workplace challenges.

Cooper and Quick (2017) emphasize that stress management should be proactive, with organizations identifying potential stressors and implementing preventive measures. Creating a supportive environment, offering stress management training, and providing resources to manage stress are key elements of this approach. According to Beehr and Newman (2019), effective stress management is closely linked to job satisfaction, as employees who manage stress well tend to experience greater satisfaction. In high-pressure environments like banking, implementing comprehensive stress management strategies can have a significant impact on employee job satisfaction, retention, and overall performance.

Furthermore, stress management is about not just alleviating stress, but also improving employees' ability to cope with it in the long term. Recent research has also focused on resilience-building, which encourages adapting to future challenges more effectively (Taylor et al., 2024). Resilience-oriented strategies often include positive psychology elements such as fostering gratitude, optimism, and strong social support networks. Effective stress management is highly personalized, requiring experimentation with various strategies to find what works best for each individual (Rodriguez, 2023).

Workload

Workload refers to the amount and complexity of work an employee is expected to perform within a certain timeframe. It includes both the quantity of tasks (how much work) and the quality (how complex or difficult the work is). In the banking industry, where accuracy, speed,

and customer satisfaction are essential, managing workload is crucial to preventing stress and burnout.

Bakker and Demerouti (2017) examine workload through the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, which suggests that job demands like workload require physical or mental effort and can lead to psychological costs when excessive. However, when managed properly, workload can motivate employees and increase productivity.

The quantitative aspect of workload refers to the volume of tasks, such as processing transactions or meeting targets. In contrast, the qualitative aspect involves the complexity of tasks, such as financial analysis or customer management, which require higher cognitive and technical skills. A high qualitative workload can be demanding, especially if employees lack the necessary support or resources.

Workload is dynamic and fluctuates based on factors such as organizational changes, economic conditions, and technological advancements (Schmidt, 2020; Salawudeen and Dandago, 2020). For example, new banking software or regulatory changes may increase employees' workload as they adjust. To prevent employee overload and maintain productivity, management must continuously assess and adjust workloads.

Effective workload management involves ensuring a balance between workload and job resources like autonomy, social support, and professional development. When managed well, workload contributes to positive work outcomes and employee engagement, but when excessive, it leads to stress, burnout, absenteeism, and turnover (Taris, 2018; Salawudeen and Dandago, 2020).

Work-life Balance

Work-life balance refers to the equilibrium between professional responsibilities and personal life, enabling employees to manage work demands alongside personal commitments. Achieving work-life balance is vital for mental health, stress reduction, and job satisfaction.

Work-life balance is rooted in work-family conflict theory, which suggests that conflicts arise when work demands interfere with personal responsibilities (Friedman, 2020). To prevent such conflicts, work-life balance promotes a supportive environment where employees can successfully balance both roles without excessive stress. This balance is key to reducing burnout and enhancing job satisfaction.

Flexible work arrangements, such as telecommuting and flexible hours, play a significant role in promoting work-life balance by allowing employees to better manage their schedules (Hill et al., 2016). Additionally, organizational support in the form of parental leave, childcare services, and wellness programs helps employees manage personal responsibilities while staying productive at work (Kossek & Lautsch, 2018).

Work-life balance is influenced by individual factors like life stage and career aspirations. For example, younger employees may prioritize career growth and work longer hours, while those with family responsibilities may seek more flexibility (Hill et al., 2016; Aminu and Salawudeen, 2019). Understanding these differences is essential for organizations to create policies that cater to diverse needs.

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of flexibility in work environments, further emphasizing the need for work-life balance (Miller & Korschun, 2021; Abdullahi and Lawal, 2023). In summary, work-life balance is about managing both professional and personal responsibilities in a way that enhances well-being, reduces stress, and improves job satisfaction (Kossek & Lautsch, 2018).

Support Systems

Support systems in the workplace refer to the resources and structures that assist employees in managing work-related challenges and maintaining their well-being. These systems include managerial support, peer relationships, mentorship programs, and access to health and wellness resources (Ragins & Kram, 2018). A strong support system is essential for enhancing resilience, reducing stress, and fostering a positive work culture.

Managerial support is crucial in helping employees perform their roles effectively. It involves the guidance and encouragement from supervisors, which helps employees balance their job responsibilities with personal commitments and promotes work-life balance (Kossek et al., 2021). When employees perceive strong managerial support, they are more likely to experience job satisfaction, engagement, and reduced stress (Eisenberger et al., 2016).

Peer support also plays a significant role in the workplace, as colleagues provide emotional support, advice, and shared experiences to help others cope with stress (Chen & Karahanna, 2018; Aminu and Salawudeen, 2019). In high-pressure environments like banking, peer support fosters camaraderie and reduces feelings of isolation. Effective peer networks are built on trust, communication, and mutual respect (Nielsen et al., 2017).

Mentorship programs, where experienced employees offer guidance to less experienced ones, contribute significantly to job satisfaction and stress reduction. Mentors help mentees navigate challenges, develop professional skills, and enhance confidence and resilience (Ragins & Kram, 2018). Additionally, access to physical and mental health resources, such as employee assistance programs (EAPs) and wellness initiatives, supports employees in managing stress and maintaining well-being (Kossek et al., 2021).

Creating a supportive organizational culture is vital for the effectiveness of these systems. A culture that promotes well-being, work-life balance, and open communication increases employee retention and creates a positive work environment (Chen & Karahanna, 2018). Strong support systems can mitigate the impact of stress, increase employee engagement, and improve productivity (Nielsen et al., 2017; Aminu and Salawudeen, 2019).

Employees' Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction refers to how content employees are with their job roles, work environment, and overall employment experience. It is a multifaceted concept that influences performance, organizational commitment, and well-being (Judge et al.,

2017; Aminu and Salawudeen, 2019). Satisfied employees tend to be more productive, motivated, and loyal to their organizations (Locke, 2018).

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory is one of the key frameworks used to understand job satisfaction. It differentiates between intrinsic factors, such as personal achievement and growth, which enhance satisfaction, and extrinsic factors, like salary and job security, which prevent dissatisfaction but don't necessarily improve job satisfaction (Herzberg, 2019). Understanding both intrinsic and extrinsic factors is essential for creating a fulfilling work environment.

Job satisfaction is influenced by individual characteristics (e.g., age, education), job-related factors (e.g., task variety, autonomy), and organizational factors (e.g., leadership, workplace culture) (Judge et al., 2017). A supportive work environment with positive relationships and effective leadership significantly enhances satisfaction (Spector, 2019). Job satisfaction is also tied to work-life balance, with employees who can manage both aspects being more satisfied with their jobs (Greenhaus & Allen, 2019).

Satisfied employees are more likely to show greater organizational commitment, lower turnover intentions, and positive behaviors (Judge et al., 2017). In contrast, dissatisfaction can result in absenteeism, lower productivity, and higher turnover (Spector, 2019). Ensuring high job satisfaction is critical for maintaining a motivated and loyal workforce (Locke, 2018).

Work Content

Work content refers to the specific tasks, responsibilities, and duties that make up an employee's role. It includes factors like the complexity, variety, and significance of tasks, which influence job satisfaction, motivation, and performance (Hackman & Oldham, 2016). Well-designed work content is essential for engaging employees and enhancing their overall well-being.

The Job Characteristics Model identifies core job elements such as task variety, task significance, autonomy, and feedback, which influence motivation and job satisfaction (Hackman & Oldham, 2016). Jobs that allow

for skill development, creativity, and problem-solving tend to lead to higher satisfaction and engagement (Humphrey et al., 2017).

Task variety prevents monotony and boredom, promoting satisfaction (Humphrey et al., 2017). Task significance, the perceived impact of the work, contributes to a sense of purpose and fulfillment (Morgeson & Humphrey, 2016). Autonomy, which refers to the level of independence employees have in performing their tasks, also enhances satisfaction and encourages creativity (Morgeson & Humphrey, 2016).

Feedback is another critical element of work content, as it provides employees with information on their performance, helping them to learn and improve (Park et al., 2020). Constructive feedback helps employees feel valued and motivated, contributing to job satisfaction and performance.

Well-designed work content leads to increased organizational success by improving productivity and reducing turnover (Parker et al., 2017). In contrast, poor work content characterized by repetitive tasks and lack of autonomy can lead to job dissatisfaction, disengagement, and stress (Parker et al., 2017).

Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits refer to the financial and non-financial rewards employees receive for their work. Compensation includes direct payments such as salaries, wages, and bonuses, while benefits include non-monetary rewards such as health insurance, retirement plans, and paid leave (Martocchio, 2020). These factors are critical for employee satisfaction, motivation, and retention.

Theories like Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs and Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory provide insight into how compensation addresses both basic and higher-order needs (Maslow, 1943; Herzberg, 2019). Compensation and benefits also relate to equity theory, which emphasizes that employees assess the fairness of their compensation relative to others (Adams, 1963). When compensation is perceived as fair, employees tend to be more satisfied and committed.

Recent trends in compensation and benefits emphasize flexibility and personalization, allowing employees to select benefits that best suit their needs (Martocchio, 2020). Offering flexible benefits plans and non-monetary benefits, such as career development opportunities, work-life balance programs, and recognition, can increase employee satisfaction and loyalty (Noe et al., 2021).

In the banking sector, a competitive compensation and benefits package is essential for attracting and retaining skilled employees in a competitive labor market (Noe et al., 2021). A well-rounded compensation package enhances both financial security and opportunities for personal and professional growth, contributing to higher job satisfaction and performance (Martocchio, 2020).

Career development

Career development is an ongoing process where individuals manage their professional growth and career path over time. This includes self-assessment, goal setting, skill acquisition, and strategically pursuing career opportunities both within and outside of organizations (Greenhaus et al., 2020). It is a shared responsibility between the individual and the organization, as it contributes to personal fulfillment, job satisfaction, and overall success within the organization.

Various theories underlie the concept of career development. For instance, Super's Life-Span, Life-Space Theory suggests that career development occurs throughout life, influenced by personal and contextual factors (Super, 1990). This theory identifies different stages of career growth—such as growth, exploration, establishment, and maintenance—each with its own tasks and challenges (Greenhaus et al., 2020). The Protean Career Model also aligns with this view, emphasizing self-direction, adaptability, and the significance of personal values and goals in career success (Hall, 2004).

Career development includes various activities aimed at enhancing an individual's skills, knowledge, and experiences, such as formal education, on-the-job training, mentorship, networking, job rotations, and certifications (Noe et al., 2021). Organizations play a significant role by offering career counseling,

leadership development programs, and succession planning (Lent & Brown, 2019). When organizations invest in employee career development, it boosts engagement, retention, and overall productivity.

A critical aspect of career development is aligning an individual's career goals with the opportunities within the organization. Employees are more likely to experience job satisfaction and loyalty when they believe their organization supports their career ambitions and offers advancement opportunities (Greenhaus et al., 2020). On the other hand, the lack of such opportunities can lead to stagnation, frustration, and higher turnover intentions (Lent & Brown, 2019).

Career development is also connected to career adaptability, which refers to the ability to manage changes and challenges in one's career. In today's fast-paced work environment, shaped by technological advancements and economic shifts, career adaptability is vital for long-term career success (Savickas & Porfeli, 2012). Employees who proactively develop new skills and seek opportunities are better equipped to handle career transitions and achieve their professional goals.

In industries like banking, where technological disruptions and regulatory changes are frequent, career development is crucial for maintaining a skilled and adaptable workforce. Banks that focus on career growth for their employees not only improve individual performance but also enhance organizational resilience and competitiveness (Noe et al., 2021).

Overall, career development is a continuous process of planning, skill development, and navigating career opportunities. It is shaped by both individual and organizational factors and plays a pivotal role in job satisfaction, employee engagement, and organizational success (Greenhaus et al., 2020; Lent & Brown, 2019).

Theoretical Review

Job Control (Decision Latitude)

The Job Demand-Control (JDC) Model, created by Robert Karasek in 1979, is a foundational framework in occupational health psychology

that explores the interaction between job demands and job control and their impact on employee stress, well-being, and performance. The model is widely used to understand how workplace stressors can be reduced by increasing employees' control over their work (Karasek, 1979).

The JDC Model revolves around two main dimensions: job demands and job control.

Job Demands: These are the physical, psychological, social, or organizational aspects of a job that require sustained effort and come with physiological and psychological costs (Karasek & Theorell, 1990). In the banking sector, job demands might include heavy workloads, tight deadlines, and the constant pressure to meet performance targets, all of which can contribute to employee stress.

Job Control (Decision Latitude): Job control, or decision latitude, refers to the level of autonomy employees have in deciding how to perform their work tasks (Karasek, 1979). High job control allows employees to shape their work environment and make decisions that help reduce stress. This includes having the flexibility to manage schedules, make decisions on how to complete tasks, and influence the work process.

According to the JDC Model, high job demands coupled with low job control create the highest levels of stress, which is termed a "high-strain job" (Karasek, 1979). However, when employees have high job control, they can better manage high job demands, leading to lower stress and better mental health outcomes. This is referred to as an "active job," where high demands are paired with high control, promoting growth and learning rather than stress (Karasek & Theorell, 1990).

The JDC Model has been validated in numerous studies across various industries. For example, Häusser et al. (2010) found that high job demands combined with low job control are linked to poor mental and physical health, including anxiety, depression, and cardiovascular issues. Additionally, research shows that increasing job control can mitigate the negative effects of high job demands, improving job satisfaction and reducing stress (de Lange et al., 2003).

Application of the JDC Model to This Study

In this study, the JDC Model serves as a useful framework to understand how stress management practices influence job satisfaction among employees in the banking sector. Stress management is the independent variable, with workload management, work-life balance, and support systems as its proxies, while job satisfaction is the dependent variable, represented by work content, compensation and benefits, and career development.

The JDC Model suggests that workload management plays a crucial role in job demands in banking. Employees who face heavy workloads without sufficient control over their tasks or decision-making are more likely to experience higher stress, which negatively affects job satisfaction (Karasek & Theorell, 1990). For example, in banks where employees lack autonomy in task management or work scheduling, high job demands can lead to burnout and dissatisfaction.

Support systems, such as access to resources, supervisory support, and peer assistance, enhance job control by helping employees manage their demands effectively (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). These support mechanisms can buffer the negative effects of high demands, thereby improving job satisfaction.

Work-life balance, which reflects the ability of employees to balance their work and personal life, is also related to job control. Organizations that promote work-life balance through flexible scheduling and other policies can help reduce work-related stress, leading to higher job satisfaction (Schieman & Glavin, 2016).

By applying the JDC Model, it is clear that the interaction between job demands and job control plays a critical role in determining how stress management practices affect job satisfaction in the banking industry. Enhancing job control through effective workload management, support systems, and work-life balance initiatives can reduce stress associated with high job demands, thereby improving job satisfaction and overall well-being among bank employees in FCT-Abuja.

Review of Empirical Literature

Nwadiani and Onoyase (2020) conducted a study titled “Workplace Stress Management and Job Satisfaction: Perspectives from Nigerian Banks.” The research, carried out in Nigeria, utilized a descriptive survey design to explore the relationship between workplace stress management practices and job satisfaction within the banking sector. The study sampled 350 employees from selected banks using purposive sampling techniques. Data were gathered through structured questionnaires designed to assess stress management practices and job satisfaction levels, relying primarily on self-reported surveys. Analysis included both descriptive and inferential statistics to examine the connections between variables. The results demonstrated that effective stress management strategies, particularly those targeting workload management and support systems, significantly enhanced job satisfaction among bank employees. However, limitations included the potential for response bias due to self-reported data and the narrow geographic focus. Future research could address these limitations by incorporating objective measures of stress and job satisfaction and expanding the scope to include banks across diverse regions (Nwadiani & Onoyase, 2020).

González-Morales and Neves (2016), in their study “When Workload Is Not Enough: Job Demands and Resources in the Burnout-Engagement Relationship,” examined the interaction between job demands and resources and their effects on burnout and engagement among employees in Spain and Portugal. Utilizing a cross-sectional research design, the study sampled 250 participants across various sectors. Data were collected through self-reported surveys measuring job demands, resources, burnout, and engagement, and analyzed using structural equation modeling. The findings revealed that while high workload is a major predictor of burnout, job resources such as support and autonomy significantly mitigate burnout and enhance engagement. Limitations included the cross-sectional design, which prevented causal inferences, and the reliance on self-reported data, which may introduce bias. The authors recommended future research adopt longitudinal approaches and objective measures to validate the findings

and address these limitations (González-Morales & Neves, 2016).

Schmidt (2020), in the study titled “The Role of Workload and Job Demands in Understanding Work-Related Strain in Employees,” investigated how workload and job demands contribute to work-related strain in Germany. This research employed a cross-sectional survey design with a sample of 300 employees from diverse industries. Structured questionnaires assessed workload, job demands, and work-related strain, and data analysis utilized multiple regression techniques to evaluate the relationships. Results showed that workload and job demands significantly influenced work-related strain, with job demands having a stronger impact on stress levels. The study was limited by its reliance on self-reported data and the inability to establish causality due to its cross-sectional design. To address these limitations, Schmidt (2020) suggested future research adopt longitudinal approaches and objective measures to deepen understanding of the relationship between workload, job demands, and strain.

Olowookere (2021), in the study “The Role of Stress Management in Employee Job Satisfaction: A Study of Selected Banks in FCT Abuja,” explored how stress management influences job satisfaction among bank employees in Abuja, Nigeria. Using a descriptive survey design, the study focused on a sample of 200 employees selected through stratified random sampling. Data collection involved structured questionnaires capturing employees' perceptions of stress management practices and job satisfaction, with primary and secondary data sources utilized. Analysis included descriptive statistics such as means and standard deviations, along with inferential methods like regression analysis to evaluate the relationship between stress management and job satisfaction. Findings revealed that effective stress management practices positively impacted job satisfaction. However, limitations included the small sample size and narrow focus on a few banks in Abuja, reducing generalizability. Future research should expand the sample size and include banks from other regions for a more comprehensive perspective (Olowookere, 2021).

Beehr and Newman (2019), in their article “Job Stress, Employee Health, and Organizational Effectiveness: A Facet Analysis, Model, and

Literature Review,” utilized a literature review and theoretical modeling approach to analyze job stress and its impact on employee health and organizational outcomes. The study synthesized existing empirical evidence and categorized job stress dimensions using a facet analysis model. The findings highlighted the negative effects of job stress on employee health and organizational effectiveness, emphasizing the need for targeted stress management interventions. A key limitation was the reliance on secondary data, which might not fully reflect current trends or emerging issues. Future studies could incorporate primary data collection to validate and expand upon these theoretical insights (Beehr & Newman, 2019).

Smith, Davis, and Williams (2022), in their study titled “Comprehensive Stress Management: Integrating Mind and Body Approaches,” examined the effectiveness of mind-body stress management techniques in the United States. Employing a mixed-methods design, the study targeted 300 corporate employees experiencing chronic stress, with 150 participants selected through random sampling. Data collection included surveys, physiological measures (e.g., heart rate variability), and in-depth interviews. Statistical techniques, including regression analysis, and thematic content analysis were used for data analysis. Findings indicated that combining cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), mindfulness, and physical exercise significantly reduced stress and improved well-being. Limitations included potential bias from self-reported data and the short duration of the intervention, which may affect long-term results. The authors recommended longer-term studies to evaluate the sustainability of the intervention and suggested incorporating more objective measures to address self-report biases (Smith et al., 2022).

Kossek and Lautsch (2018), in their review titled “Work-Life Balance: A Review and Extension of the Literature,” provided an in-depth analysis of the concept of work-life balance. The study synthesized findings from previous empirical and theoretical research, utilizing qualitative thematic analysis to evaluate organizational practices, individual preferences, and societal influences on work-life balance. A significant limitation was the over-reliance on cross-sectional data, which

may not capture the dynamic nature of work-life balance over time. Future research could employ longitudinal or mixed-methods approaches to better understand the evolving nature of work-life balance and assess the long-term impact of interventions (Kossek & Lautsch, 2018).

Brown (2021), in the study “Cognitive Approaches to Stress Management: Reframing Negative Thought Patterns,” investigated the effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral techniques in managing stress by reframing negative thought patterns. Conducted in the United States, the study employed an experimental design with a sample of 150 individuals experiencing mild to moderate stress, selected through purposive sampling. Pre- and post-intervention surveys and psychological assessments measured stress levels and cognitive changes, with paired t-tests and regression analysis used for data evaluation. Results demonstrated that cognitive-behavioral approaches significantly reduced stress by transforming negative thoughts into positive ones. However, the study's short-term focus limited its ability to assess long-term effects. Future research could adopt longitudinal designs to explore the sustained impact of cognitive techniques on stress management (Brown, 2021).

Akinwale (2018), in the study “The Dynamics of Employee Job Satisfaction in the Nigerian Banking Industry: A Focus on Stress Management Strategies,” examined how stress management strategies affect job satisfaction. Using a descriptive survey design, the study targeted 250 bank employees in Nigeria, selected via stratified random sampling. Data were collected through structured questionnaires capturing perceptions of stress management and job satisfaction, analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Findings revealed that effective stress management, including improved workload management and support systems, significantly enhanced job satisfaction. However, the reliance on self-reported data was a limitation, as it may introduce bias. To address this, future research could incorporate interviews or observational data to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of stress management (Akinwale, 2018).

Study Gap

Although numerous studies have examined the link between stress management and job satisfaction across various industries, several gaps remain unaddressed. Research like that of Nwadiani and Onoyase (2020) and Akinwale (2018) has investigated stress management strategies in the Nigerian banking sector but is often constrained by reliance on self-reported data and geographically narrow samples, limiting broader applicability. Similarly, international studies, such as those by González-Morales and Neves (2016) and Schmidt (2020), highlight the influence of job demands and resources on burnout but lack longitudinal designs and objective metrics to establish causality. Furthermore, while integrated stress management approaches, as discussed by Smith et al. (2022) and Brown (2021), have demonstrated effectiveness in reducing stress, their relevance to organizational contexts in developing economies like Nigeria remains insufficiently explored. This study aims to fill these gaps by utilizing a more diverse sample, applying mixed methods for greater data accuracy, and examining the long-term effects of comprehensive stress management strategies on job satisfaction in Nigeria's banking sector.

Introduction

This section focuses on the presentation, analysis, and interpretation of data collected for the study on the effect of stress management on employees' job satisfaction in the banking industry in FCT-Abuja. The data were gathered through structured questionnaires distributed to the selected banks, and responses were analyzed using appropriate statistical tools. The

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The research methodology employed in this study provides a comprehensive framework for examining stress management and employees' job satisfaction in the banking industry in FCT-Abuja. The study adopts a descriptive research design, with a population of 385 employees from FCMB, Zenith Bank, and First Bank, and a stratified random sampling technique to select a final sample size of 236 respondents. Data collection utilized a structured questionnaire based on a 5-point Likert scale, capturing both quantitative and qualitative insights. Descriptive and inferential statistical techniques, including regression analysis, were applied using SPSS to analyze the data, with the dependent variable (job satisfaction) measured through work content, compensation and benefits, and career development, and the independent variable (stress management) assessed via workload management, work-life balance, and support systems. The reliability and validity of the research instrument were confirmed through expert reviews, factor analysis, and Cronbach's Alpha values ranging from 0.79 to 0.84, ensuring robust and credible findings.

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

analysis is presented in tables and figures, providing insights into the demographics of the respondents and addressing the research questions and hypotheses. The results are interpreted to determine the relationships between stress management variables and job satisfaction levels among employees in the banking sector.

Data Presentation and Analysis

TABLE 4.2.1 Response Rate

Questionnaire Distributed	236
Returned Questionnaire	227
Percentage of returned Questionnaire	96%
Unreturned Questionnaire	9
Percentage of not returned Questionnaire	4%

Source: Field Survey, (2025)

Table 4.2.1 presents the response rate of the distributed questionnaires. Out of the 236 questionnaires distributed, 227 were returned, representing a high response rate of 96%, while only 9 questionnaires, accounting for 4%, were not returned. This high response rate indicates

strong engagement and participation from the respondents, enhancing the reliability and validity of the data collected for the study. The low percentage of unreturned questionnaires minimizes the potential for non-response bias, implying that the findings are likely to

accurately reflect the views of the target population in the banking industry in FCT-Abuja.

Test of Hypotheses

Testing of hypothesis one

H₀₁: Workload management does not have a significant effect on employees' satisfaction with their work content in the banking industry in FCT Abuja.

Model 1

$$\text{'WC} = \alpha + \beta_i \text{WL} + \epsilon \dots \dots \dots 3.2$$

Table 1 **Model Summary**

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.734 ^a	.538	.536	.36254

a. Predictors: (Constant), WL

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 1 presents the model summary for a regression analysis, indicating a strong relationship between the predictors and the dependent variable, as evidenced by an R value of 0.734. This suggests a substantial positive correlation, meaning that as the workload (WL) increases, there is a corresponding increase in the dependent variable being measured. The R Square value of 0.538 indicates that approximately 53.8% of the variance in the dependent variable can be explained by the predictor, WL. The Adjusted R Square of 0.536

adjusts this figure for the number of predictors in the model, providing a slightly lower yet still significant percentage of explained variance. Additionally, the Standard Error of the Estimate is 0.36254, which quantifies the average distance that the observed values fall from the regression line, reflecting the model's predictive accuracy. Overall, the results suggest that workload is a significant predictor of the dependent variable, aligning with the decision rule that indicates a good model fit when R Square is above 0.5.

Table 2 **ANOVA^a**

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	34.478	1	34.478	262.315	.000 ^b
	Residual	29.573	225	.131		
	Total	64.051	226			

a. Dependent Variable: WC

b. Predictors: (Constant), WL

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 2 presents the ANOVA results for the regression model analyzing the impact of workload (WL) on the dependent variable, work content (WC). The regression sum of squares is 34.478 with 1 degree of freedom,

indicating that the model explains a significant amount of variance in the dependent variable. The F-statistic is 262.315, which is substantially higher than 1, suggesting that the model is statistically significant. The p-value

(Sig.) of 0.000 indicates a highly significant result, as it is far below the conventional alpha level of 0.05, confirming that the predictor, workload, has a meaningful effect on work content. The residual sum of squares is 29.573, with 225 degrees of freedom, leading to a mean square of 0.131. This indicates that the model

fits the data well, with a decision rule confirming that the null hypothesis can be rejected, thus establishing that workload significantly influences work content. Overall, these findings support the conclusion that workload is a significant predictor of work content in the studied context.

Table 3 Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.120	.182		6.157	.000
	WL	.744	.046	.734	16.196	.000

a. Dependent Variable: WC

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 3 presents the coefficients for the regression analysis, indicating the relationship between workload (WL) and work content (WC). The unstandardized coefficient for the constant is 1.120, suggesting that when the workload is zero, the work content score would be approximately 1.120. The unstandardized coefficient for WL is 0.744, meaning that for each unit increase in workload, the work content score is expected to increase by 0.744 units, indicating a strong positive relationship between the two variables. The standardized coefficient (Beta) for WL is 0.734, which

indicates a substantial effect size, demonstrating that WL has a strong influence on WC. The t-value for WL is 16.196, with a corresponding significance level (Sig.) of 0.000, confirming that the predictor is statistically significant. This result is well below the conventional alpha level of 0.05, leading to the decision to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that workload significantly influences work content. Overall, these findings emphasize the importance of managing workload to enhance work content effectively.

Testing of hypothesis two

H₀₂: Work-life balance does not have a significant influence on employees' satisfaction with compensation and benefits in the banking industry in FCT Abuja.

Model 2

$$CB = \alpha + \beta_1 WB + \varepsilon \dots \dots \dots 3.3$$

Table 4 Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.636 ^a	.405	.402	.41035

a. Predictors: (Constant), WB

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 4 displays the model summary for a regression analysis examining the relationship between the predictors and the dependent variable, work-life balance (WB). The R value of 0.636 indicates a moderate to strong correlation between the predictor and the dependent variable, suggesting that as work-life balance improves, there is a positive change in the outcome being measured. The R Square value of 0.405 signifies that approximately 40.5% of the variance in the dependent variable can be accounted for by the predictor, WB. The Adjusted R Square of 0.402 slightly adjusts this

value for the number of predictors in the model, indicating a consistent percentage of explained variance. The Standard Error of the Estimate is 0.41035, which reflects the average distance that the observed values fall from the predicted values, indicating the model's accuracy. In line with the decision rule, the R Square value above 0.4 suggests a good model fit, indicating that work-life balance is a significant predictor of the dependent variable. Overall, the results indicate a meaningful relationship that warrants further investigation.

Table 5 ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	25.759	1	25.759	152.975	.000 ^b
	Residual	37.888	225	.168		
	Total	63.647	226			

a. Dependent Variable: CB

b. Predictors: (Constant), WB

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 5 presents the ANOVA results for the regression model examining the effect of work-life balance (WB) on the dependent variable, compensation and benefits (CB). The regression sum of squares is 25.759 with 1 degree of freedom, indicating that the model explains a notable portion of the variance in the dependent variable. The F-statistic of 152.975 is significantly higher than 1, reflecting the strength of the relationship between work-life balance and compensation and benefits. The p-value (Sig.) of 0.000 demonstrates a highly

significant result, well below the conventional threshold of 0.05, thus confirming that work-life balance is a significant predictor of compensation and benefits. The residual sum of squares is 37.888 with 225 degrees of freedom, yielding a mean square of 0.168, which suggests a reasonable fit of the model to the data. According to the decision rule, the null hypothesis can be rejected, indicating that work-life balance has a substantial impact on compensation and benefits within the studied context.

Table 6 Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.689	.185		9.141	.000
	WB	.573	.046	.636	12.368	.000

a. Dependent Variable: CB

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 6 displays the coefficients from the regression analysis examining the relationship between work-life balance (WB) and the dependent variable, compensation and benefits (CB). The unstandardized coefficient for the constant is 1.689, indicating the expected value of CB when WB is zero. The unstandardized coefficient for WB is 0.573, suggesting that for every one-unit increase in work-life balance, compensation and benefits increase by approximately 0.573 units, highlighting a positive relationship between these two

variables. The standardized coefficient (Beta) for WB is 0.636, indicating a strong effect size, which means that WB is a significant predictor of CB. The t-value of 12.368, along with a p-value (Sig.) of 0.000, confirms the statistical significance of the relationship, as the p-value is well below the 0.05 threshold. Therefore, the decision rule indicates that the null hypothesis can be rejected, reinforcing the conclusion that improved work-life balance significantly enhances compensation and benefits in the studied context.

Testing of hypothesis three

H₀₃: Support systems do not have a significant impact on employees' satisfaction with career development in the banking industry in FCT Abuja.

Model 3

$$CD = \alpha + \beta_1 SS + \epsilon \dots \dots \dots 3.2$$

Table 7 **Model Summary**

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.714 ^a	.510	.508	.41299

a. Predictors: (Constant), SS

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 7 summarizes the regression model assessing the relationship between the predictor variable, support systems (SS), and the dependent variable. The R value of 0.714 indicates a strong positive correlation, suggesting that higher levels of support systems are associated with improvements in the dependent variable. The R Square value of 0.510 reveals that approximately 51.0% of the variance in the dependent variable can be explained by the support systems predictor, indicating a substantial degree of explanatory

power. The Adjusted R Square of 0.508 accounts for the number of predictors in the model and reinforces the model's relevance. The Standard Error of the Estimate is 0.41299, reflecting the average deviation of the observed values from the predicted values. Given that the R Square is above the decision rule threshold of 0.5, we can conclude that the model fits the data well, and support systems significantly influence the dependent variable, warranting further exploration of their impact in the studied context.

Table 8 **ANOVA^a**

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	39.900	1	39.900	233.939	.000 ^b
	Residual	38.375	225	.171		
	Total	78.275	226			

a. Dependent Variable: CD

b. Predictors: (Constant), SS

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 8 presents the ANOVA results for the regression analysis examining the effect of support systems (SS) on the dependent variable, career development (CD). The regression sum of squares is 39.900, with 1 degree of freedom, indicating that the model accounts for a significant portion of the variance in career development. The F-statistic is 233.939, which is well above 1, signifying a strong overall model fit. The associated p-value (Sig.) of 0.000 demonstrates a highly significant relationship, as it is considerably lower than the conventional alpha level of 0.05. This indicates

that support systems significantly influence career development. The residual sum of squares is 38.375, with 225 degrees of freedom, resulting in a mean square of 0.171. These findings align with the decision rule that allows for rejecting the null hypothesis, confirming that the presence of effective support systems plays a crucial role in enhancing career development outcomes. Overall, the results strongly support the conclusion that support systems are a significant predictor of career development in the context studied.

Table 9 **Coefficients^a**

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	.863	.205		4.218	.000
	SS	.775	.051	.714	15.295	.000

a. Dependent Variable: CD

Source: Generated using SPSS Version 27.0.1

Table 9 displays the coefficients from the regression analysis examining the relationship between support systems (SS) and the dependent variable, career development (CD). The unstandardized coefficient for the constant is 0.863, indicating the baseline level of career development when support systems are zero. The unstandardized coefficient for SS is 0.775, suggesting that for every unit increase in support systems, career development increases by 0.775 units, which reflects a strong positive effect. The standardized coefficient (Beta) of 0.714 further emphasizes this relationship, indicating that support systems contribute significantly to career development relative to other variables in the model. The t-value of 15.295, along with a p-value (Sig.) of 0.000, confirms the statistical significance of the support systems predictor, as it is well below the alpha level of 0.05. Therefore, the decision rule supports rejecting the null hypothesis, concluding that support systems have a significant and positive impact on career development in the studied context.

Discussion of Findings

The study revealed that workload management significantly affects employees' satisfaction with their work content in the banking industry in FCT Abuja. This finding suggests that when workload is effectively managed, employees are more likely to engage positively with their tasks, leading to higher job satisfaction and morale. Properly distributing tasks and providing the necessary resources and support reduces stress and enhances fulfillment in their roles. As a result, banking institutions should prioritize workload management practices to create a balanced and manageable work environment, which can boost job satisfaction, productivity, and retention rates. This finding is consistent with the works of Nwadiani and Onoyase (2020), González-Morales and Neves (2016), and Schmidt (2020), who concluded that effective stress management strategies,

including workload management and support systems, significantly improved job satisfaction among bank employees. Their studies also revealed that high workloads predict burnout, but job resources like support and autonomy mitigate burnout and foster engagement. These findings align with the Job Demand-Control (JDC) Model, which emphasizes the importance of balancing job demands with available resources to reduce stress.

Similarly, the study revealed that work-life balance significantly influences employees' satisfaction with compensation and benefits in the banking industry in FCT Abuja. Employees who maintain a healthy work-life balance tend to view their compensation packages more favorably. When they can manage both personal and professional responsibilities effectively, they are more likely to appreciate the value of their compensation and benefits. This highlights the need for organizations to recognize the relationship between work-life balance and employee satisfaction with compensation. Banking institutions in FCT Abuja should implement flexible work arrangements and programs that support work-life balance to enhance employee satisfaction, motivation, productivity, and retention. This finding is supported by Olowookere (2021), Beehr and Newman (2019), Kossek and Lautsch (2018), and Smith, Davis, and Williams (2022), who found that managing job stress effectively significantly enhanced job satisfaction among bank employees. These studies also revealed that job stress negatively affects employee health and organizational outcomes, while promoting work-life balance improved productivity and well-being. These findings align with the Job Demand-Control (JDC) Model, which underscores the need for organizations to balance job demands and individual capacity to maintain employee well-being.

Additionally, the study found that support systems have a significant impact on employees' satisfaction with career development in the banking industry in FCT Abuja. Effective support systems, such as mentorship, resources, and open communication, play a critical role in enhancing employees' perceptions of career growth opportunities. When employees feel

supported by their colleagues and management, they are more likely to view their career progression positively. This finding underscores the importance of creating a supportive workplace culture that prioritizes employee development. Banking institutions in FCT Abuja should invest in robust support systems to improve employee satisfaction and overall organizational performance. Satisfied employees are more engaged, motivated, and likely to contribute positively to the organization's success. This conclusion aligns with the findings of Akinwale (2018) and Brown (2021), which highlighted that stress management strategies, including strong support systems, significantly enhanced job satisfaction. The Job Demand-Control (JDC) Model further supports these findings by emphasizing the role of support systems in managing job stress and promoting career satisfaction.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study highlights that effective workload management, work-life balance, and support systems significantly enhance job satisfaction among employees in the banking industry in FCT Abuja. It reveals that manageable workloads improve employees' engagement and satisfaction with work content, while a better balance between professional and personal lives enhances their satisfaction with compensation and benefits. Additionally, supportive organizational environments, through resources, mentorship, and effective communication, positively influence employees' satisfaction with career development opportunities. These findings underscore the importance of strategic workload distribution, fostering work-life balance, and implementing robust support systems to boost employee morale, retention, and overall productivity in the banking sector.

The study, therefore, makes the following recommendation

- i. Management of the selected banks should conduct regular assessments of employee workloads to identify areas of excessive strain. This can be done through surveys and feedback sessions

- to ensure tasks are equitably distributed.
- ii. Management of the selected banks should evaluate and improve their compensation and benefits packages to ensure they meet the diverse needs of employees. This could include health and wellness programs, retirement plans, and family support services.
- iii. Management of the selected banks should invest in robust support systems that include mentoring programs, access to training resources, and avenues for open communication between staff and management.

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, N & Lawal, B. (2025). Directors Diversity and the Economic Value of Equity. *Applied Economics and Finance*, 10(2), Pp 23-36.
- Akinwale, A. (2018). The impact of workload on job satisfaction in Nigeria's banking sector. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 12(3), 45-60.
- Akinwale, A. (2018). *The impact of workload on job satisfaction in Nigeria's banking sector*. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 12(3), 45-60.
- Akinwale, A. A. (2018). The dynamics of employee job satisfaction in the Nigerian banking industry: A focus on stress management strategies. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 9(2), 41-50.
- Aminu, I. M & Salawudeen, A. (2019). Board characteristics, dividend policy and shareholders' wealth of listed manufacturing companies in Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Business and Economics*, 21(9), 24-45.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309-328.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands-resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273-285.
- Beehr, T. A., & Newman, J. E. (2019). Job stress and employee health: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(5), 555-575.
- Beehr, T. A., & Newman, J. E. (2019). Job stress, employee health, and organizational effectiveness: A facet analysis, model, and literature review. *Personnel Psychology*, 44(4), 665-693.
- Beehr, T. A., & Newman, J. E. (2019). *Job stress and employee health: A meta-analysis*. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(5), 555-575.
- Brown, L. (2021). Cognitive approaches to stress management: Reframing negative thought patterns. *Psychological Science*, 27(8), 1227-1236.
- Chen, P., & Karahanna, E. (2018). Boundary management in the workplace: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 44(2), 547-575.
- Cooper, C. L., & Quick, J. C. (2017). *The Handbook of Stress and Health: A Guide to Research and Practice*.
- Cooper, C. L., & Quick, J. C. (2017). *The Handbook of Stress and Health: A Guide to Research and Practice*. Wiley.
- Eisenberger, R., Stinglhamber, F., Vandenberghe, C., Sucharski, I. L., & Rhoades, L. (2016). Perceived supervisor support and employee performance: The role of intrinsic motivation and self-efficacy. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(3), 616-627.
- Friedman, S. D. (2020). Work-life balance: The role of family-friendly policies in the workplace. *Organizational Dynamics*, 49(1), 1-10.
- González-Morales, M. G., & Neves, P. (2016). When workload is not enough: Job demands and resources in the burnout-engagement relationship. *International*

- Journal of Stress Management*, 23(2), 118-133.
- Häusser, J. A., Mojzisch, A., Niesel, M., & Schulz-Hardt, S. (2010). Ten years on: A review of the Job Demands-Control (JDC) model. *Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 26(3), 238-256.
- Hill, E. J., Jacob, J., & Shannon, L. L. (2016). Work-life balance: The role of family-friendly policies in the workplace. *American Journal of Family Therapy*, 44(2), 101-113.
- Karasek, R. A. (1979). Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24(2), 285-308.
- Karasek, R. A., & Theorell, T. (1990). *Healthy work: Stress, productivity, and the reconstruction of working life*. Basic Books.
- Kossek, E. E., & Lautsch, B. A. (2018). Work-life balance: A review and extension of the literature. *Academy of Management Annals*, 12(1), 1-45.
- Kossek, E. E., & Lautsch, B. A. (2018). Work-life balance: The role of family-friendly policies in the workplace. *Industrial Relations Research Association*.
- Kossek, E. E., Pichler, S., Bodner, T., & Hammer, L. B. (2021). Workplace social support and work-life balance: A review and meta-analysis of the literature. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 26(2), 125-142.
- Michie, S. (2021). Workplace stress management: A review of the literature. *Occupational Health Psychology Review*, 6(2), 123-145.
- Miller, J., & Korschun, H. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on work-life balance: Lessons from the pandemic for organizations and employees. *Business Horizons*, 64(5), 611-620.
- Nielsen, K., Randall, R., Yarker, J., & Brenner, S.-O. (2017). Interventions to promote mental health in the workplace: A systematic review of the literature. *Work & Stress*, 31(2), 142-163.
- Nwadiani, M., & Onoyase, L. (2020). Stress management in African banking: Challenges and strategies. *African Journal of Business Management*, 14(4), 78-89.
- Nwadiani, M., & Onoyase, L. (2020). *Stress management in African banking: Challenges and strategies*. African Journal of Business Management, 14(4), 78-89.
- Nwadiani, O. I., & Onoyase, I. (2020). Workplace stress management and job satisfaction: Perspectives from Nigerian banks. *International Journal of Psychology and Counseling*, 12(4), 85-94.
- Olowookere, A. (2021). The role of stress management in employee job satisfaction: A study of selected banks in FCT Abuja. *Journal of Organizational Psychology*, 20(3), 112-123.
- Olowookere, J. K. (2021). *Work-life balance and job satisfaction among banking employees in Abuja*. International Journal of Human Resource Studies, 11(1), 112-130.
- Rodriguez, M. (2023). Personalizing stress management strategies for workplace resilience: An empirical study on individual approaches to coping with stressors at work. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*.
- Salawudeen, A. & Dandago, K. I. (2020). Board Gender Diversity and Size: Implication on the Market Price Per Share of Listed Manufacturing Companies in Nigeria. *African Journal of Research*, 1 (1), 22-36.
- Schmidt, K. (2020). The role of workload and job demands in understanding work-related strain in employees. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 25(1), 1-16.
- Schmidt, K.-H. (2020). Workload and job performance: The role of organizational factors in managing workload effectively in the banking sector. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 10(1), 112-130.

- Smith, J., Davis, P., & Williams, S. (2022). Comprehensive stress management: Integrating mind and body approaches. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 27(1), 29-45.
- Taylor, M., Smith, J., & Jones, R. (2024). Building resilience in high-stress environments: The role of positive psychology in workplace stress management strategies. *Journal of Positive Psychology*.
- Taris, T.W. (2018). The relationship between workload and employee well-being: A review and meta-analysis of the literature on job demands-resources theory. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 67(4), 627-654.
- Wiley.Michie, S. (2021). *Workplace stress management: A review of the literature*. Occupational Health Psychology Review, 6(2), 123-145.